FILE COPY

Supreme Court U.S.
FILED
FEB 28 1936

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1935

No. 199

FREDERICK D. DISMUKE,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

PETITION OF FREDERICK D. DISMUKE FOR A REHEARING.

W. A. BOOTLE,
JOHN J. MCCREARY,
Counsel for Petitioner.

J. D. CARLISLE,
Of Counsel.

INDEX.

Subject Index.

	Page
Petition for rehearing	1
First: The cases relied upon by the Government and considered by this Court as controlling are not in point	2
Second: This Court must have overlooked the case of United States v. Matthews, 173 U. S. 381, Walker v. United States, 139 Fed. 409, and also United States v. Tinklepaugh, 3 Blatchf. 425, 28 Fed. Case, page 193, Case No. 16,526	19
Third: When the question whether a field deputy marshal from 1895 to 1902 was an employee of the United States is decided free from supposedly controlling authorities and in accordance with the decision of this Court in the case of United States v. Matthews, it must be decided in the affirmative	22
Conclusion	30

Table of Cases Cited.

Baltimore & Ohio Southwestern R. R. Co. v. Burtch, 263 U. S. 540	8
Brewster v. Gage, 280 U. S. 327	18
Charles Derr Construction Co. v. Gelruth, 120 Pac. 253	8
Douglas v. Wallace, 161 U. S. 346	1, 3, 6, 7, 14, 17
Employers Indemnity Co. of Philadelphia v. Kelly Coal Co., 149 S. W. 992	4
Fawcus Machine Co. v. United States, 282 U. S. 375	18
Fuller Company v. McCloskey, 228 U. S. 194	23
Hull v. Philadelphia & Reading Ry. Co., 252 U. S. 475	23
Interstate Commerce Commission v. New York, N. H. & H. R. Co., 287 U. S. 178	18
Klench v. Stockton, 249 Pac. 46	30
Linstead v. C. & O. Ry. Co., 276 U. S. 28	22
Logan v. Davis, 233 U. S. 613	18
Maynard v. Elliott, 283 U. S. 273	18
Metcalf v. Mitchell, 269 U. S. 514	9
Norwegian Nitrogen Products Co. v. United States, 288 U. S. 294	18
O'Dea v. Cook, 169 Pac. 366, 176 Cal. 659	30
Paducah Box & Basket Co. v. Parker, 136 S. W. 1012	25
Powell v. United States, 60 Fed. 687	1, 3, 14, 17
Singer Manufacturing Co. v. Rahn, 132 U. S. 518	4
Standard Oil Co. v. Anderson, 212 U. S. 215	3, 7, 24
Throop v. Langdon, 40 Mich. 673	9
Twenty per cent cases, 13 Wall. 568	9, 10
United States v. Manning, 80 U. S. 577	10

Page

United States v. Martin, 17 Fed. 150 22
United States v. Matthews, 173 U. S. 381 ..... 1, 2, 5, 19
United States v. McDonald, 72 Fed. 898 ..... 1, 3, 14
United States v. Miller, 13 Wall. 577 9
United States v. Moore, 95 U. S. 760 18
United States v. Tanner, 147 U. S. 661 18
United States v. Tinklepaugh, 3 Blatchf. 425, 28 Fed. Case, page 193, Case No. 16,526 ..... 20, 22
Walker v. United States, 139 Fed. 409 ..... 1, 13, 19, 22, 27
Walton v. Cotton, 19 How. 355 ..... 14, 30
Wintermute v. Smith, 30 Fed. Case 17897 ..... 1, 3, 14, 16

STATUTES CITED.

Act of Sept. 24, 1789 (1 Stat. 87) Sec. 27 21
Act of Sept. 24, 1789 (1 Stat. 87) Sec. 28 ..... 21, 22
Act of Feb. 28, 1795 (1 Stat. 425) Sec. 9 21
Act of Aug. 8, 1846 (9 Stat. 74) Sec. 7 21
R. S. Sec. 780 ..... 11, 12, 25, 26
R. S. Sec. 782 11
R. S. Sec. 789 27
R. S. Sec. 841 ..... 12, 15, 26, 28
U. S. C. A., Title 5, Sec. 601 29
U. S. C. A., Title 28, Sec. 492 26
U. S. C. A., Title 28, Sec. 494 26

OTHER AUTHORITIES CITED.

39 C. J. 38 ..... 8, 25
43 C. J. 813, Sec. 1408 30
18 R. C. L. 577, Sec. 83 8